that she would say, that's not what this government is supposed to be about. We've got a number of problems, and, of course, as far as the people knowing what's going on, and I think there are two things that are going on at this very moment that shows the importance of minority ownership, if it were not for the *Black Press*, Commissioners, the situation with Jena would never have been even discovered. It never would have been discovered.

(Applause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. Not only that, but KELLEY: pathetically some of the things we hear about Jena today from the mainline press are out and out lies. You would only think that six Black young men beat up a White gentleman, and that's the reason he's in That's is not the case at all. There's all of this build up that you don't hear. So that's why it's so important to have minority owned press. It's the only way we'll get out the information.

Now, you know, maybe I'm being naive, and when I read this, and this is attributed to the CEO of a very important conglomerate, "We're not in the business of providing news and information. We're not in the business of providing well researched music. We're simply in the business of selling our customers

1	products." If we're talking about the public
2	interest, I think it's a lot more than selling the
3	customers products.
4	(Applause.)
5	MR. KELLEY: And that's what we have. We
6	need to go back there's a number of things
7	suggestions, but I can't believe that I would say
8	anything that you haven't already heard, because I
9	know that you had a minority group, a study group to
10	put forth 40 I believe recommendations. None have
11	been acted on. I would ask and beg that you please do
12	that. Thank you very much.
13	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Thank you, Mr. Kelley.
14	(Applause.)
15	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Mr. Lavine.
16	MR. LAVINE: Mr. Chairman and
17	Commissioners, I'm John Lavine, the Dean of the Medill
18	School of Journalism, but tonight I speak really only
19	for myself.
20	First, as a journalist and now as a
21	professor of media strategy in journalism, I have two
22	overriding passions, to foster penetrating, watchdog,
23	trustable journalism that enhances the public
24	knowledge and the lives of citizens, and to help
25	educate the next generation of journalists and other

who will pursue that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My comments are focused on those goals, which I hope you share, and solely on the newspaper cross-ownership ban. It may be popular to say the ban is in the public interest. But the facts don't support that position. My conclusion is not just academic.

In 1974, I bought a small daily newspaper in Northern Wisconsin that owned the radio station. I turned down the opportunity, just before the ban, to buy the station, because I thought it wasn't right to have one person own both outlets in town. But that was then, and this is now. And the world of the digital media have changed things dramatically.

Let me suggest that there are four standards that you might use in considering this ban, and whether you roll it back or not. One is to increase media competition; the next, to remove the enhance minority and news to organizations' ownership and voices; the third is, even though it seems contradictory, and I'll come to that moment, protecting the public interest requires that you ensure that large quality news organizations endure; and the fourth is to increase the growth of new and enormously interesting diverse citizen media.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me take each of those in turn. The question about whether there was competition in I'll turn in an Chicago in `75 and now is easy. appendix which shows you that it is growing dramatically here and everywhere else in the country.

Removing the ban to minority increase more straightforward, voices is and I think more Because of the ban, any non-news outlet can own a station, but newspapers, like those here in Chicago, the Defender, Periodico La Raza, the Lawndale News, none of the minority press could own a station In the public interest, you should under that ban. They're struggling, and they need let them compete. to be able to serve their audiences, when, where, and how people that they are writing for and broadcasting The same is true for the other 23 for want it. newspapers in this area, let alone the two big ones.

And there's a corollary. You have information before you, from your own studies, which show that news on TV stations owned by newspapers is better than news on TV stations from other kinds of organizations. There's no magic in this. These are news organizations, their prime reason is news.

Third, it seems contradictory to say that we should protect the public interest and require, and

you help, large organizations to endure. But this is the age of digital media, and we have 300 million people in this country. And if you really care about the public interest, and if you really care about quality journalism, then you have to face when the next 9/11, or Katrina, or Amber Alert happens.

We all need big media outlets that are going to be able to cover those. No blogger can possibly do it alone. Big is not always bad. When it comes to news and matters of large scale or complexity, big quality is essential.

But, there's another reason for the kind of media I'm talking about. And the other reason is, I desperately want to see, I hope you do, diverse citizen media grow. Larger traditional news organizations provide the fuel that many citizen media need to thrive. The Chicago Tribune, WGN Radio and Television, Hoy, RedEye, are one set in this market.

They're the radar screen that citizen media must have 24/7 to understand what's happening. Then they can use that information to find their own analysis, to do their own stories, to start their own alternative way to go. This may not be a popular position, but it is the real world position in the digital age.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	With that information, let me summarize by
2	saying, I urge you to recognize the myths, some of I
3	just enumerated, embrace the facts, and repeal the
4	cross-ownership ban that stops a newspaper from owning
5	a radio station, including the minority press here in
6	Chicago.
7	I also believe that if you do this you will
8	go a long way toward ensuring the viability and
9	public service of the stations that you regulate.
10	Thank you very much.
11	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Thank you, Mr. Lavine.
12	(Applause.)
13	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Ms. McCullough.
14	MS. McCULLOUGH: Thank you. My name is
15	Joyce McCullough, and I'm General Manager of radio
16	stations WLPO, WAJK, WKOT, and Publisher of the <i>News</i>
17	Tribune in LaSalle, Illinois.
18	There are 15 radio stations licensed to our
19	market. They are operated by five licensees. One of
20	our competitors owns a group of seven stations
21	representing 47 percent of the stations in our market.
22	There are an additional two other newspapers in our
23	market.
24	Our owner has owned WLPO and WAJK since the
25	1940s, and WKOT since `99. He has owned the newspaper

that serves our community and surrounding communities since the 1940s. I've lived in the area for most of my life, attended grade school, high school, and community college in the area, and I've been associated with the newspaper since the late 1960s, been Publisher since -- of the newspaper since `02 and General Manager of the radio stations since 2003.

A couple of local projects the newspaper and radio stations are currently involved in include working with our local March of Dimes to do a chef's auction. At the request of the March of Dimes we partnered with them to begin this fundraising effort six years ago. In our small community, in 2001, the auction brought in about \$17,500. Last year we together raised over \$96,000.

We also work with our local United Way on a Santa's Helper toy fund drive. We stepped up when a group who stepped down after working with our United Way for almost 20 years could no longer be involved we came forward. Our radio and newspaper staffs are involved in both of these projects. Local families benefit from the results.

WLPO-AM, our news talk station, runs more than 70 per week of local programming, much dealing with local issues through news and talk. We do at

NEAL R. GROSS

least one local newscast per hour each weekday from 6:00 a to 6:00 p with frequent updates during morning and afternoon drive, and over the noon hour.

WLPO provides a minimum of 20 local news updates each weekday. We also provide local news coverage on the weekend with a minimum of 10 local newscasts each Saturday and Sunday.

Our two FM stations offer 45 to 60 plus hours of local programming each week, much more entertainment focus, but with a local flavor and programming. Even in hours when we're not locally programmed, we have the capability to, and frequently do, interrupt programming on any and all stations to provide crucial information to our listeners regarding weather, traffic issues, or if there, and there has been, a local school bomb scare.

WAJK, the FM, provides 14 local information updates each weekday, and at least 10 every Saturday and Sunday. WKOT informs listeners with a minimum of 10 local news reports each day. Sports coverage for local teams is broadcast on all three stations and on all our websites. It's not unusual for our small group of stations to have six to eight sports reporters out broadcasting every game in our local area on a Friday night.

NEAL R. GROSS

The loosening of ownership restrictions allowed LaSalle County Broadcasting to add a third station in 1999, which has increased our coverage area. In turn, we have added personnel to our news and on air staffs. The new added benefit is that we are also able to share information with listeners in our other stations as well.

Last fall's Ottawa mayoral forum is a perfect example. Because we had acquired WKOT, we were able to present the forum. Prior to the event, we promoted it in the newspaper and on our stations, reaching more listeners. The forums were offered at not charge to the candidates, the newspaper and radio station reporters together compiled the questions with input from area residents. Newspaper reporters covered the forum in the next day's paper.

Could the radio stations have offered this type of programming without the newspaper's involvement? Yes. Would it have been as comprehensive and available to the public? I don't think so.

All radio stations used the event for news and talk afterwards. Since WLPO is the heritage news and information station in our area, we used our combined resources to provide WKOT listened

2	able to get before.
3	In summary, I'm here testifying that
4	residents in our community benefit from the same
5	ownership for three radio stations and the local
6	newspaper. In today's media environment, area folks
7	have many choices of the newspaper, website, or free
8	publication, in which one to read, and which radio
9	station or TV station to tune to.
10	At our stations and newspaper, we listen to
11	what people in our community say, and we respond. We
12	do so because the future of our community is important
13	to us. We live and work there. It's our home.
14	We are also well aware that we must stay
15	competitive if we want to stay in business. I ask you
16	to consider looking at media cross-ownership on a case
17	by case basis.
18	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Thank you. Thank you,
19	Ms. McCullough.
20	(Applause.)
21	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Mr. Siegel.
22	MR. SIEGEL: Thank you all, and I'd love to
23	welcome you to our wonderful and diverse city of
24	Chicago. Thank you for coming.
25	(Applause.)

considerably more in depth local news than they were

MR. SIEGEL: When I was a kid, my dad told me that all the houses in Russia were painted blue. And I could see the joy in my dad's eyes when he said, here in America, our houses look different, they're built different, and they're painted all different colors. And he said, this is freedom.

Let me ask you, does -- when you listen to the radio, doesn't it sound like music is starting to sound the same? And that newscasting is starting to sound the same? And that the formats are starting to sound the same? And that the voices, the voices are starting to sound the same.

(Applause.)

MR. SIEGEL: Does this sound a little bit like the way my dad described the suppressive Communist Russia? Something's wrong here. When I was a kid in the `50s know that. and early `60s, I would turn on the radio, and within one hour of listening, I would hear gospel, jazz, R&B, Dixieland, country music, rock and roll, and classical.

When one group of people, one cultural group is listening to and enjoying the art, or the music of another cultural group, this really breeds respect and love. Not just for the music, not just

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the artist, but for all those people that love that other form of music, or culture. In this way, if we allow it, music brings people together in sharing relationships, of love and respect. And it makes the world a better place for all of us to live in.

When we are faced with a decision as a family, we notice that following the money might lead us away from something even more important, and it's likely in a situation like this we will fearless turn away from money this time and do what's best for our family.

(Applause.)

The corporate, what I call the MR. SIEGEL: collective competing corporate mind makes and decisions. It has the bottom line hanging heavily Its looking for something that has over its head. already proved to be a money maker. Market research cannot tell us something that is not known. only take what is known and narrow down on it. is why, as we let the corporate mind take over for us, things become the same. This is not about big, this is about the same.

Interesting thing, individuality, if you think about it, is an expression that comes from the natural way our own minds and hearts and bodies are

ordered. And when we flow with this, it connects us in a very effective and deep way to any activity we apply it to. And then that activity becomes a profound offering. Why would we want to do anything in this world that is not coming from this beautiful place?

The corporate mind says, Well, we're just giving people what we want. Yes, they might be following trends, which is mostly just chasing their own tail. But what they are not doing is giving people what their heart craves, what uplifts them. They are not giving the profound offering of individuality and diversity.

The irony here is that when commercial media cuts off individuality and diversity at the knees, which it will do if allowed, it is separating out and excluding a deeper connection to larger groups of people. So for the long haul, the corporate mind doesn't even make good decisions in its own best interest.

We need to make a right decision now for this great family. And it's the time to follow, in the best interest of love, diversity, creativity, invention, individuality, and freedom. But if commerce is allowed to make this momentous decision

NEAL R. GROSS

for the people, we can surely know where this will end 1 We are not yet in the Russia my father described. 2 3 We are in America. Stop the corporate mind from 4 painting all our houses blue. Let the --5 (Applause.) Let the clear and joyful 6 SIEGEL: 7 expression of freedom ring over our public airwaves. 8 MODERATOR SIGALOS: Thank you, Mr. Siegel. 9 MR. SIEGEL: Thank you so much. 10 (Applause.) 11 MODERATOR SIGALOS: Mr. Speta. 12 MR. SPETA: Thank you. And thank you to 13 the Commission for the opportunity to speak today. 14 I'm a professor at the Northwestern University School 15 of Law where my research interest is in how market 16 structure and market structure regulation affects competition and other communication policy goals. 17 18 should say that I'm blessed to have students who teach me at least as much as I teach them. 19 20 The question of media concentration policy, 21 it seems to me, shares much in common with the other communications policy debates ο£ the 22 Broadband competition, network neutrality, and the 23 24 digital divide. Each of these debates is about whether all Americans receive certain basic services,

and whether market mechanisms, backed up by anti-trust 1 or similarly limited supervision, can provide those basic services. 3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I should say that, as an academic, I take a somewhat different view of the tag line we've heard so much already today, that the airwaves are public property. For the extent to which we propertize the spectrum and rely on market mechanisms depends on whether that's a wise policy choice, all considered.

Our general assumption in the United States is that market mechanisms in private property will serve the public interest. And I believe that we can largely trust market mechanisms to satisfy media qoals.

I recognize that U.S. communications policy has long diverged from market mechanisms, either to historically concentrated problem of solve the markets, or to pursue other non-economical, such as localism, diversity, and quality of program.

But today, or soon, three inter-related developments are making it possible to rely on market mechanisms in media markets, and to continue to diminish ex-anti-structural regulations. First, it is well known that technological advances provide and

direct competitors to the traditional broadcast television product. Even if there's something uniquely important about mass market video, broadcast is not the only mass media market product.

All U.S. households have a choice of at least one cable television company, and two broadcast satellite companies, and more than 86 percent of all U.S. households subscribe to these services. And more than 500 networks provide programming over cable and direct broadcast satellite.

Second, broadband penetration is and video increasing, is taking an increasingly important place in broadband markets. Traditional news media, of course, are introducing video onto the internet, but other providers are there as well. You million Tube reportedly serving 20 is representing 1.9 billion minutes of viewing a month.

And it's not just lip sync videos. It can be issues of public concern. If you enter network neutrality on You Tube, for example, it brings up 64 videos, including videos by advocacy groups and politicians. Five of these videos have more than 300,000 views. You Tube and similar services can also be the point of entry for new entertainment, such as the Lonely Girl 15 phenomenon proved.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Third, in the broadband era, the ways in which individuals receive, and even more importantly, the ways in which they can seek out and even create information and entertainment are radically changing. For example, Cable News Network, CNN, and FOX consistently rate among consumers' top two news sources more consistently than consumers network news, and even local TV trailed CNN.

Similarly, Pew internet studies recently, in 2006, found that 43 percent of all broadband customers received news from an internet news site on a daily basis. Among younger and more active internet users, it was 71 percent. And using the internet for news significantly exceeded their viewing of local or national television news.

I believe that with daily e-mailed alerts, RSS feeds, and other internet push and pull news services proliferating, the sources of information will continue to proliferate.

A related phenomenon, what some have dubbed Web 2.0, is media creation by individual citizens. And here the barriers to entry are extremely low and falling. Websites are easy and cheap to build, blogging is free, mass mailing to members is an effective form of organizing. I do not think it is

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	unfair to speculate that the Internet was important to
2	bringing a substantial portion of our audience here
3	today.
4	Even local interests are served. In Cook
5	County there are 138 municipalities, and every single
6	one of them, save 13, has a presence on the web.
7	Local newspapers are increasingly present on the web
8	as well.
9	What does this mean for the Commission's
10	action on media concentration? Obviously, I believe
11	to continue to diminish ex-anti-limitations, to review
12	broadcast license transfers on a case by case basis,
13	and to continue to expand
14	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Thank you.
15	MR. SPETA: light regulation of the
16	Internet to other information markets.
17	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Thank you, Mr. Speta.
18	(Applause.)
19	MODERATOR SIGALOS: Mr. Verrone.
20	MR. VERRONE: Chairman Martin,
21	Commissioners, fellow panelists, members of the
22	audience, my name is Patric Verrone. I'm President of
23	the Writers Guild of America West. I bring you
24	greetings from Hollywood, where the sun always shines
25	and the celebrities are always not guilty.

On behalf of 7500 television, film, and new media writers, thank you for holding this hearing and allowing public comment on the topic of media consolidation. This is an auspicious time for all of us, not only because the Cubs are in first place.

(Scattered laughter.)

MR. VERRONE: White Sox fans. As you know, this is my second appearance before you, and I want to avoid the accusation of just producing another late summer rerun.

So I will resist my instinct to restate the fact that due to the unparalleled vertical consolidation of TV broadcast networks, movie studios and cable television stations, the number of distinct voices in mainstream TV programming has dwindled to a handful.

I will also resist repeating that 20 years ago there were 29 dominant entertainment firms sharing \$100 billion in annual revenue, and today there are six conglomerates sharing 400 billion.

And I will resist suggesting, once again, our remedy to this concentration ownership, namely a requirement, the 25 percent of non-news and non-reality programming come from independent sources not owned by the four broadcasting networks.

NEAL R. GROSS

I will resist all of that. Instead, I would like to elaborate on an issue Chairman Martin mentioned in his opening remarks. growing advertising practice that severely affects both our work as writers and our audience's viewership, it's product called integration, embedded advertising branded entertainment.

We appreciate Chairman Martin's offer for rule making comments, and the other Commissioners' support of this issue. We also thank Chairman Ed Markey of the House for holding Congressional hearing on this topic.

Understand that I am not talking about product placement, the practice which a bottle of water, of a recognizable brand, Pure American, a fine brand, merely sits on the table as a prop. Product integration requires that the wacky next door neighbor announces this week he's a bottled water salesman, and talk about the crisp refreshing taste of that recognizable brand of water.

The idea of branded entertainment is to integrate the commercials into the story line and characters and jokes so as to create stealth advertising, fooling the viewer into thinking they are not watching an ad. Most Americans may not appreciate

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

or realize how prevalent it has become, but Nielsen media research tells us the product integrations in the 2006 season occurred more than 4,000 times in network prime time television, and the number is expected to grow.

On NBC's The Office, characters spend entire episodes working at Staples. On CBS's CSI, characters promote the features of General Motors Denali and its crime solving abilities. Oreo cookies are a major part of a plot in two episodes of the CW family drama, 7th Heaven. On Smallville, contact lens prompted one caped crime fighter to say, AccuView to the rescue, proving that even Superman is immune to neither kryptonite nor product integrations.

NBC has gone so far as to hire a high ranking executive in charge of strategic marketing and content innovation. Content innovation, by the way, is something I thought writers did.

We understand the reason, TiVos and the ability of viewers to fast forward through commercials, but there is a problem without regulation and oversight, and it can be summarized in one word, integrity. When writers are told we must incorporate a commercial into a story line, we become advertisers, we lose our integrity. Actors, when they're subjected

NEAL R. GROSS

1	to forced endorsement, when their characters shill the
2	products, they lose their integrity.
3	Viewers are required to watch commercials
4	without realizing they are commercials. In our
5	experience, the American viewing public wants and
6	deserves to be told when they are being sold.
7	To protect viewers and consumers, and this
8	is where the Commissioners come in, we support
9	disclosure. Disclosure that reveals the product
LO	integrated, is legible, and is held on the screen long
11	enough to be read. We ask the FCC to require a crawl
12	identifying the product, its promoter, and the fact
13	that the writers and actors do not personally endorse
L4	its use.
15	To conclude, I want to repeat a line from
16	my earlier testimony relevant to these proceedings.
L7	Homogenization is good for milk but bad for ideas. I
18	hope you appreciate
19	(Applause.)
20	MR. VERRONE: that at the time that I
21	said that, I did not mention a brand of milk.
22	However, in keeping with the times, may I recommend
23	the creamy goodness, and wholesome freshness
24	(Applause.)
25	MR VERRONE: of the milk of creative

integrity. Or else it's going to end up on the side 1 of the carton, missing, and asking, Have you seen me? 2 Thank you for your attention. 3 4 MODERATOR SIGALOS: Thank you, Mr. Verrone. 5 (Applause and cheering.) MODERATOR SIGALOS: Ms. Woelk. 6 7 MS. WOELK: Hi. Can you hear me? this is -- my name is Ann Woelk, and I am the Director 8 9 of Broadcast for AFTRA Chicago, that's the American 10 Federation of Television and Radio Artists. 11 been in this position for the past six years. 12 wanted to say hello as well. Thanks for this 13 opportunity. 14 AFTRA represents broadcasters at all five 15 owned and operated televisions stations WBBM, WMAQ, 16 WFLD, well as WLS, WGN, 12 as radio stations. 17 Additionally, we represent the local PPB outlet, WTTW. 18 Since beginning my position, the main employer theme in all contract negotiations is changes 19 to workplace practices that include the reuse of 20 21 material and synergy with other media outlets, both inside and outside the station's corporate ownership 22 23 Perhaps the most glaring example of this phenomenon of reuse and repackaging is seen in the 24

consolidation of NBC's takeover of Telemundo.

its

WSNS

to

about what the

It felt like one

shared equally

In late 2002, as NBC transitioned WSNS,

was

hopeful

more

Considering that the Latino population is

Nothing could be farther from the truth.

outlet,

resources,

In fact, NBC merged WSNS studios

organizing

the Chicago Telemundo

AFTRA

were

mean,

broadcasters for collective bargaining. At the time,

equipment, and increased opportunities to do more and

the fastest growing minority in the country, as well

as in Chicago, and that metro Chicago is comprised of

17 percent Latino households, of which 13 percent use

Spanish as their primary language, it is reasonable

for all of us, and it was reasonable at the time, for

all of us to anticipate a growth of services to the

Spanish language market, once the resources of NBC

between NBC and WSNS. Telemundo employees have to do

interviews in both Spanish and English so that their

stories can be repackaged and used by their English

1

2

which

corporate

all the

consolidation

big family.

grow in new ways.

landed on the scene.

is

umbrella,

WSNS staff

would

into the existing studios of WMAQ.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

Resources and information are not

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

language counterparts at WMAQ and NBC network. **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

And NBC did not extend the benefits of the WMAQ contract to Telemundo employees. And after five οf bargaining, we have yet to achieve contract. And WNSN broadcasters are still employed on terms comparable with their English language counterparts.

Telemundo photographers also have to shoot for NBC, leaving WSNS broadcasters without sufficient crews for their stories. That really hurts their mission to serve the Spanish language community. On many occasions, events in the Latino community were not covered because of insufficient photographers or reporters available, while English language stories were covered.

When live trucks are shared by NBC and Telemundo, priority was always given to the NBC crew to report the story in English first. During sweeps, WSNS broadcasters were more limited than ever before in the opportunity to do investigative specials. Instead, Telemundo chose to use stories from other markets, leaving WSNS reporters stories and ideas unfulfilled.

But I believe -- but believe it or not, things actually got worse. In 2005 and 2006 Telemundo eliminated local Spanish language news in five

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24